

Many of us know that there is little air on the top of Mount Everest, but do you know why that is? Deforestation. I'm just saying that trees are 'the lungs of the world' in that they create oxygen for all of us to breathe, and because so many trees are being cut down, that means people can't breathe on the mountain properly and the problem will only get worse! Are wooden tables and chairs etc. REALLY worth it? Ok fine, we can't use plastic, it's tacky, screw the trees if that's the only alternative, but how about bricks? That's tacky too? Nope, it matches brick houses, doesn't it? Perfect aesthetic consistency! Plastic tables would only work if people built houses made of plastic, hell, now that I think of it, maybe people should. Ah, Google says such houses are becoming increasingly common, so there you go. It says it's because they are 'more economical' my theory is it's to make plastic houses and plastic tables more consistent and therefore stylish, but the main use of plastic is because air is running out. Imagine what would happen if a plastic house burnt down, though. Carcinogens everywhere, and not only that a massive, thick, plastic puddle would be left on the ground and you wouldn't be able to shift the damn thing. (Unless you burn it again to melt it). You might as well build a house out of poison or at very least a material that releases poison into the air, when it catches fire. Well, it's exactly the same, isn't it? No, why not take things further and build houses out of dynamite?

Why is air only running out on Mount Everest? Because hardly anyone ever goes there! If the government placed the 'air gap' (that's probably my own terminology but maybe not) in London on the other hand, there would be huge outrage and many people would die. So a few snow leopards can't breathe properly on the mountain, is that really a scandal in the grand scheme of things? I guess the 'save the snow leopards on the mountain' campaign has at least some validity, but it pales in comparison to the 'stop the Londoners suffocating' campaign, doesn't it? How does the government place the air gap on the mountain? It's actually very easy to move air to places, all you need is a large bag. You could also save the air by reducing the number of people on Earth. Not by killing people, that would be genuinely disturbing, but I believe China has or had a one child per married couple policy and maybe that could be extended. Who knows, maybe the policy has no connection with overpopulation and the country really cares about snow leopards?? Let's Google if China cares about such animals... Yep, the country 'significantly' cares about them. China 'cares deeply' about deforestation, too. Many people in China have breathing problems and a pessimistic person could assume the only real reason the country cares about the animals mentioned is because they don't want to end up like them, but I think they care because they are wise. The popularity of Buddha in the country confirms that, many people in England look up to David Beckham and all he really does now is look pretty and advertise crisps.

Oh wow, Google says that claim is completely wrong. Sorry David. I suppose I should make another apology, but I want to get my Keir Starmer rocking out sketch over and done with. Then I'll have to apologise to him. Moving on, say the act of cutting a single tree down reduces oxygen enough to make a single ant suffocate. In that case, if someone gets caught cutting a tree down, it would be legit justice if an ant gets to kill them. How you'd make that happen, I don't know. I suppose in the future, A.I. could be used to make the insects super smart, enough so to make them able to understand the concept of the legal system. Neither a utopia or a dystopia, just weird. Well, I guess it would be dystopia if ants got smart enough to wage war

against people once they become intelligent. They have every right to be angry, because I don't really care about them. I actually once saw two ants get into a fight and didn't intervene, this sounds cold, but I just watched with curiosity. If I saw two people get into a fight and just watched, that would be terrible. I'm not sure if it would be a crime though, it would be crazy if watching boxing fights would be a crime, with them being advertised on TV and all. That would be a weird dystopia, wouldn't it? As in 'the biggest fight of century is coming up, it's going to be amazing! And if you watch it, you're going to jail in the special, massive prison that has been built! (expletive) you :D'. I'd want no part of it, but it really wasn't one of Keir Starmer's policies at very least, that's a very a good reason to resign! Oh yes, and wondering why there's two Air Crises? It sounds like I'm taking the subject seriously, actually I forgot I did one in the first place. Bye!