

Hello, I am so, so, SO, so sorry for inciting the riots in France. Well, for making it seem that way anyway, genuinely inciting riots would be extremely out of character for me. Making it seem like a teacher told his students to shoot themselves was bad too, and for that I'm also sorry. The misunderstanding itself could cause students to riot and hopefully, I'm not responsible. But why would there only be riots in France when the teacher I accused lives in America? Well, society is complicated, isn't it? It's like all the psych YouTube videos you see that are obsessed with narcissism, as if it's the only mental health condition out there. Yes I am narcissistic, but there are other things wrong with me, too. Let's just hope no one involved with the riots were studying how to be judges, because how would you trust any other judge? It would make you paranoid, right? Maybe if the judges already practicing heard about a teacher telling their students to shoot themselves (again, it was a misunderstanding - so sorry), when they were younger and more immature, maybe they'd riot, too. No, Simon of COURSE they wouldn't do that, I'm sorry again!!! If judges were that corrupt, they wouldn't send criminals to jail, they'd gift them gifts instead to 'rehabilitate' them. What such a gift would be, I don't know. There's no way a bottle of whisky could ever rehabilitate someone, so that would be very much out of the question. Shady judges have to be subtle. Maybe they could give antidepressants away, but if people weren't charged with crimes, you'd expect them to be very happy. Again, it's very complicated!

Ok, so the rioters would never make good or even so-so judges. What can they do, then? Maybe they'd be good at P.E., I'm just saying some of them sure can run. I would recommend them joining the army, but there would be the risk the rioters wouldn't just shoot the enemy, but people at random. I'm just saying looting shops in response to not getting air conditioning in school seems pretty random, to me. So we have a rapid decline of civilisation but a boost in P.E. lessons, it's not all bad, is it? I'm wondering what such lessons would be like. Maybe the (reformed/semi-reformed) rioters could motivate their pupils by telling them they'll go to jail for years if they don't run fast enough. If they do run fast enough on the other hand? Then the now teachers give away stolen running shoes as a reward. When I was in secondary school, the teacher said she'd give a pupil £5 if she continued hiccuping. The teacher thought the use of psychology would make the afflicted stop hiccuping but it didn't work. However, the teacher didn't give the person the money anyway. If she did though? I think it would make school more exciting and therefore get students more involved. However, for me I just wished I had hiccups. I'm sure I wouldn't be alone in that, though. Here's a news headline for you: 'Pupils all over the country eat food very quickly and drink fizzy drinks like never before.' A fun headline, isn't it? I heard of someone who hiccuped for I think 2 years because of a tumour, she could have ended up being among the world's super rich...

I've just realised how weird it would be if someone was paid billions of pounds for hiccuping for two years in school. That would be someone unmotivated to take part in school lessons, wouldn't it? I mean what would be the point? It was worse for me though, I wasn't interested in school because things weren't looking up for me, AND because I couldn't be bothered. My attitude was that there wouldn't be any point and for many years that was true, it actually showed surprisingly clear thinking. Things kinda looked up for me whilst studying in university, then quickly went back to normal. Then I started writing *The Danger of Proverbs* in my late 20s and found some more meaning in life. Would the hiccuper have lots of political power, with her

vast wealth and all? She could sit in parliament listening to the other MPs debate what should be funded and not funded, all whilst hiccuping. However, there would be the risk she could be a very selfish person (which could be narcissism BUT ALSO sociopathy) and she'd just fund research into disorders of the I THINK diaphragm. Now that I think of it, she could also be schizotypal. Basically, I'm comparing her to me. Right, to sum up, don't riot, don't tell students to kill themselves, don't make rioters judges and don't give money to people hiccuping, that all seems fair enough to me. Interestingly, I'd say that summary was in the right order from worst to best too, but 1st and 2nd place can of course be debated. And bye!