

Today I'll be reviewing Led Zeppelin II by Led Zeppelin! I'm wondering what 'Led' means in this case. It could mean 'Light Emitting Diode', but how can you make a zeppelin out of a load of those, or even crazier out of ONE of those? Then again, it would make more sense than building a zeppelin out of lead, if that's what 'led' refers to. I mean how would that work exactly? Maybe the band were trying to create the picture of a non-flying zeppelin in the minds of listeners? Nope, on the album cover of their debut, one is high in the sky. It's also burning and lead famously doesn't burn. And what the hell is the point of building more than one lead zeppelin? If the first one doesn't work, just bin the whole idea. All things considered, what the flip are these guys on about? It's like me calling my band 'Water Jumbo Jet', releasing an album called '2nd Water Jumbo Jet' and on the cover there would be a flying jumbo jet made of water and on fire. And that's not an exaggeration actually, it's more or less exactly the same.

Wow, the band have an album called 'Led Zeppelin III'? For the love of God, drop the idea! I hate to sound cold, but it's ABSOLUTELY terrible! There's not one good thing about it, so you could imagine my horror when I remembered their untitled album is often referred to as 'Led Zeppelin IV'! These people are artists and don't have the same sense of logic a rocket scientist would have, I get that, but still they do have a very large audience, many fans being easy to influence children. What you don't want is a child growing up believing zeppelins made of heavy metal can fly OR burn. I'm not saying LZ are unique with their wild claims, Van Halen singer David Lee Roth refers to himself as diamond Dave. He looks like a person right? That's because he is a person. A child could be like 'well if people are diamonds and diamonds are expensive, maybe I could part of myself in a ring and sell it. All of a sudden a poor little boy is disfigured for life.

One track in LZ II is called 'Whole Lotta Love' and as the song has a whole lotta the E power chord, (it's pretty much the only chord used in the entire song) maybe the band are suggesting E power chord = love. Why?? Emaj7 or Emaj6 could work - very mellow - but personally I consider power chords to be aggressive, regardless of pitch. Could that mean Led Zeppelin's idea of love is aggressive?? I'm just saying The Beatles had a song called 'Happiness is a Warm Gun' and it's making me paranoid. Happiness to me is chilling out and watching Youtube. No, no, no, no, no! Wow. Time to apologise harder than ever before. I am so, so, so sorry Led Zeppelin. You know what? If you play a power chord in a major key, it shouldn't actually sound too aggressive, so I take my prior comment back. Granted Whole Lotta Love is in a minor key, but if I'm wrong about where various destinations over five miles away are, I suppose I can very easily be wrong about my theories on aggression in general. :)

I do like the album, you know? It also got lots of five star ratings at the time it was released and people were arguably smarter back then without the reliance on technology and all. (I on the other hand hate technology because I often find it makes things harder which is weird). If such smart people didn't feel the need to mention lead, LEDs, etc. in reviews, maybe that suggests that I'm not smart? After all, I've just realised that actually planes CAN be made of metal and still fly! Whoops. Hypothetically a zeppelin could be made of metal if it had one or multiple jet engines BUT at very least things made of lead can't float. At least give me that. Red Zeppelin could work, just a thought. What could be better than naming a second album '2'?

Pretty much anything. Calling an album 'number 2' could be perceived as self-deprecating, but as far as I'm aware the band have never compared themselves to number 2s or sung about going to the toilet. I along with most people wouldn't want them to, but it would be more creative. Let's give the album 9.25/10, bye!