

Meatier-Rights

by

Simon Wiedemann

© 2020

Veganism is on the rise, which I'm sure many people will be happy about. (Even though it will result in less need for cows, meaning the population of the animals will plummet. Is that really what cows want? I doubt they hate being milked that much). But is EVERYONE or indeed EVERYTHING happy about veganism? No. In fact there are very dangerous objects from space that are strongly opposed to the so-called 'do-gooders'. I am of course talking about meatier rights - asteroid-like objects that campaign for the rights of carnivores. All it takes is one crazed, huge rock on a desperate kamikaze mission, and Earth is doomed. Put simply, veganism could end the world. Even vegetarianism is dangerous. So what needs to be done? I'm not saying veganism or whatever needs to be stopped, but I am saying people must always eat meat.

Having thought more deeply (yes I am thinking, what are you insinuating?), judging by their name, I suppose meatier-rights could be campaigning for the way meat gets treated when animals are slaughtered, and not the rights of others to eat meat. But isn't that a strange thing to be worried about? Who cares how meat gets treated? It's not living is it? Do meatier-rights just like looking at meat as it's aesthetically pleasing? Yes, that was a strange thing to say, but how likely is it that aliens are like us? (In all seriousness, I forgot to take some of my medication recently, and this is the result - a load of weird crap). Ok, I'm going to move on from that theory, take a breather, write more deep stuff and above all, hope my correct, recent doses have sorted me out.

Hmm. MAYBE 'meatier-rights' means the rights of others should be strengthened (as in 'made more meaty'. Is that a phrase, it sounds right, anyway). But doesn't the threat of killing mankind because they're not fair enough sound hypocritical? Then again, why would anyone expect too much logic to come from what are no more than huge rocks? So, should we all be more reasonable to others or should we kill more animals? This is all very confusing. Maybe we should look back to the time of the dinosaurs. How did they behave? Did their behaviour agitate MRs? Well the animals were literally cold-blooded (as in heartless and evil), weren't they?

If MRs deliberately put an end to the dinosaurs because of their callousness, should WE be worried? Are we as bad as them? Fortunately people who go around killing and eating other people are extremely rare. But killing and eating animals? Oh no. That's most of us. Once again - HOW ARE WE SUPPOSED TO ACT?? JUST SAY!! Sorry for losing it, but this is extremely important. In a state of panic and with an obsessive need for answers, I put 'meaty' into an online thesaurus. Apparently meaty is another word for 'profound'. That's me! I'm profound! Do meatier-rights want more rights for people like me?? I'm so sorry for accusing you of being unreasonable, MRs. I like you now.

Ok, ok, only an overly-optimistic fool would assume the most pleasing explanation is the most likely one, without examining the evidence properly, but I hate to say it, there really isn't much to go on. Ohhhhh no. I've just realised - meatier-rights didn't name themselves, mankind did, meaning the name could be completely wrong. I feel SO stupid. Look on the bright side though, we should all be safe. (Unless a meatier-right collides with Earth coincidentally). Whatever happened to the guy who named the objects and what his reasoning was, I don't know. Maybe he just liked meat. Fortunately however, I don't think it matters. Unless he was an astounding astronomer with some crucial information, but apparently MRs were discovered and named ages ago, in a time of limited knowledge, so I don't think the naming was too insightful. Bye!