

Here's a thought: Why are leftovers nicer when they're left in a fridge for a day? Maybe because they're ever so slightly rotten? Cheese is slightly rotten and people love it! Sounds simple enough, right? But annoyingly foods don't seem to improve after the one day mark. Who knows why. Maybe because after too much decay they turn out to be lethal. I once left a grape to decay just a tiny bit then ate it, and it was by far the nicest grape/raisin I'd ever eaten. It sounds silly, but I did consider it to be possibly the world's first gourmet grape. It made me feel a tiny bit ill for a bit but it what a taste! Maybe that's why I'd never eaten such a fruit before - too dangerous. So yeah, what foods are safe after a little bit of rot? I've never heard of sweets like Haribo going dangerously bad, so what's it like if you leave a pack open for a day and then eat them? No difference whatsoever. Ok, how about bananas? Yes, they get better once they're left out in the open for a bit! But what if you don't have the time to wait for a banana to improve as you're hungry right now? Well, if coughing on people makes them ill and an ill people's immune system is at least partially decayed when attacked, how about coughing on bananas?

Nope, doesn't work. Would you believe coughing on curries or pizza doesn't enhance the taste either so that's a theory that's best abandoned. Oh no, I've just realised... things in the fridge shouldn't go rotten, so my opening sentences didn't make sense. No, they must do right? Otherwise what's the point of use by dates? Phew. I hate to make this monologue even more complicated, but actually I don't love cheese. Well most cheese. Making things even more complicated, I love pizza cheese! Don't worry too much though, as here is some first rate logic anyone can understand: If at least some finished meals are better as they decay just a little for whatever reason, that must mean things taste worse before they're completely made. I'm just saying the opposite of good is bad, and the opposite of good, well prepared food that is left out for a bit is food that hasn't been fully made yet and is therefore bad. See the connection? Of course things taste worse before they're finished as if they don't what's the point of working further on them?? Sadly I don't know what my point is.

Chocolate doesn't improve if you leave it out in the open for a bit. What have chocolate and Haribo got in common? Lots of sugar? Actually I think that could be the reason. Not particularly interesting, but mystery over I guess. Unless the reason both foods don't improve is because they have the letters H, A, and O in common. If that's the reason, well I'm sorry but I simply can't continue writing this monologue. It just doesn't. Make. Sense. Or does it? What can you spell with those letters? Nothing? Well how about 'haaaa oooo!' That's an amused person who's amazed at the same time. And you know what? It is amusing and amazing that chocolate and Haribo doesn't improve if you leave it out in the open air for a day or so, I'm laughing about it right now. My conclusion is that Haribo and chocolate is both amusing and amazing and the manufacturers want us to know that, but only on a subconscious level. (Or subconscious until now).

What else can you spell? Nothing. I'm wondering what you can spell with the letters that are in chocolate but not Haribo. Such letters are C, L and E. With lots of Es you get 'eeeeee!' which is another word showing excitement, further proving chocolate and sweet makers want to make us thrilled. Of course they do, why wouldn't they. I'm not sure what you spell with L and C, though or indeed C and L. You can almost spell 'Elk' which is an animal, but whilst 'elc' sounds the same, technically speaking

it's not the same thing, so I'm not going to continue thinking about such animals. No wait, if you make foods for a living, why do you need good English skills? Maybe such companies DO want you to be excited and think of elks, they just can't spell properly. Elks are impressive animals, after all. Who would win in a fight, an elk or Mohammed Ali? I'd put money on the former and whilst an apparently irrelevant advert for any kind of food, such a fight would stick in your mind and that's all that matters. To sum up, I think I have some valid points, I just can't PROVE them. Trust your hunches and all that, though? And... bye!