Simon 1: Hello, what have you been Googling about yourself, this time?

Simon 2: I asked what A.I. what it thought of my book The Danger of Proverbs (or more likely what it thought of its synopsis as that's all that was talked about) and it gave a really deep analysis. I was thinking 'this is making me sound really clever! I'm less of a writer and more of a philosopher and I mainly wrote about people exploding!' At very least I write a damn good synopsis. Actually, the book was just supposed to be funny. I liked reading the A.I. though, and I hope to read more in the future.

Simon 1: What did A.I. say exactly?

Simon 2: Something like I was parodying government incompetence and society's obsession with fame, even if makes people look bad. I guess the book does 'explore' those subjects as A.I. would say, but again, I wasn't trying to be deep, when I was writing it, I actually though 'this is kinda dumb'. Rather like Monty Python!

Simon 1: But you like being perceived as thoughtful?

Simon 2: Sure. What I found to be really weird was A.I.'s comment that I cleverly used chess games as a comparison with winning and losing at life in general. Dear God, no!

Simon 1: It sounds clever, though.

Simon 2: Oh very clever. It's ironic really as again, I actually thought the protagonist's obsession with trying to work out how to lose a game in one move made him sound like an idiot.

Simon 1: Why else do you suspect A.I. only analysed the synopsis?

Simon 2: Because I also asked it what it thought of One Screwy Week and it basically said 'No idea'. Not in those words, but it had the same meaning.

Simon 1: And it has no synopsis?

Simon 2: Right. The thing with A.I. is no matter what question you ask it about yourself, it almost always says something positive which is nice but at the same time, I would like more honesty. It's like when I read about INFPs and everything sounds really cool like they're the greatest people ever. If A.I. said INFPs are the type most associated with pushing over old ladies and laughing, I wouldn't mind. If anything, I'd just think to myself 'Hm. Food for thought.'

Simon 1: You never know, maybe you really are perfect?

Simon 2: Hm. Maybe.

Simon 1: It's just there are some people out there, ignorant people, who may think

you being called ‘perfect’ is somewhat of a paradox…

Simon 2: No, I don’t think so. There was an old site (I’m not sure if it’s still around and I’m not sure I want to check, as my computer said it wasn’t secure, or something like that) that was far more brutal. It said INFPs are very often incompetent and lazy which was hurtful. I was kinda thinking… ‘No, I prefer the other sites’.

Simon 1: A slight contradiction from you, there.

Simon 2: Well, whatever. INFPs weren’t the only people to get abuse, everyone got some. In fact, you got a warning before reading the articles saying that you will be offended, which really goes against the trend. ISTJs were called ‘really boring’ and INTJs were called weirdos who would ‘win the weird olympics’. Personally, I like both types of people, though that could simply be because I’m lazy and incompetent and need people to help me.

Simon 1: If you ever get lost in London and need some help, straight to the ISTJs/ INTJs?

Simon 2: Exactly.

Simon 1: But what if trains are all they ever talk about, non-stop, for hours and hours?

Simon 2: Again, helpful.

Simon 1: How would you know who the ISTJs and INTJs are, though?

Simon 2: I believe they have angular faces.

Simon 1: I see. Are there any types you don’t like?

Simon 2: I guess I have a warped view of ESFJs because of my dad. Also, I get the sense ESTJs secretly think I’m an idiot.

Simon 1: Or not so secretly.

Simon 2: Right.

Simon 1: And would you like to massively change the subject?

Simon 2: I saw an advert on Youtube that went ‘We didn’t cut any corners, we did… … … eliminate them.’

Simon 1: That was a long gap…

Simon 2: Right. At first, it was as if the narrator was contradicting himself.

Simon 1: We didn't cut any corners, we did?

Simon 2: Yes, that's what it sounded like at first.

Simon 1: What's it mean?

Simon 2: I really don't know, I guess it's a problem for A.I.

Simon 1: But it only has an IQ of roughly 120…

Simon 2: Well, let's put that to the test.

Simon 1: …

Simon 2: It says the claim is either a pun or a self-deprecating joke…

Simon 1: A pun?

Simon 2: Yeah, and then DIY projects and 'baking disasters' were brought up. Personally, I found the explanation to be rather weird.

Simon 1: Understandable, as the phrase made no sense.

Simon 2: Yeah, but why bring up baking?

Simon 1: It makes no sense and that's what you rely on to get feedback from your book?

Simon 2: I didn't know at the time.

Simon 1: Ok.

Simon 2: Speaking of baking (or at least food), after many, many years of eating Chinese takeaways, I still can't work out what makes a dish a starter and what makes a dish a main course. I'm reminded of French words that are either feminine or masculine, how do you know??

Simon 1: ??

Simon 2: The other day, I did something wild and ordered two starters and no main course, and I didn't notice anything weird, whatsoever!

Simon 1: Oh, you shouldn't have done that.

Simon 2: Why?

Simon 1: Just… rules…

Simon 2: Yeah? Well, I might do it again.

Simon 1: A rebel without a cause!

Simon 2: Actually, I'd say I do have a cause, that being curiosity. I'll tell you what's really weird, though…

Simon 1: What?

Simon 2: Those in medieval times ate the dessert before the main course…

Simon 1: THAT'S weird.

Simon 2: It does sound weird, but having thought about it, desserts only make you hungrier and main courses fill you up, so actually not feeling hungry after a meal makes far more sense…

Simon 1: A lost wisdom…

Simon 2: Yep, they may not have known about the big bang or just how big the universe really is, but dammit they weren't still hungry after meals.

Simon 1: Yeah, an interesting theory, but it doesn't explain how King Henry VIII was so overweight.

Simon 2: Oh yeah.

Simon 1: That was the theory of an incompetent INFP.

Simon 2: It wasn't lazy though, because I did try.

Simon 1: And would you like to end this interview on a relative high by not saying anything else weird?

Simon 2: Sounds good, bye!